DISCOVERY SITE EVALUATION CHECKLIST

(To be completed by a member of ERC Data Management and included with the data package for a newly discovered potential waste management unit.)

Site Cod	de: 200-W-57	5/12/2004					
Site Alias(es): 200-W-57, Excess Equipment Laydown Area identified in RCRA General Inspection #200WFY97 Item #10, Area West of 2714-U Fence							
W	Vaste Management Unit Not a Waste Management Unit More Information Need	led					
1. IF YES	Does the unit receive uncontaminated rainwater runoff only? y O n • S, CHECK "NOT A WASTE MANAGEMENT UNIT" ABOVE AND STOP. IF NO, GO TO 2						
A check it Agreemer	n any "YES" box in the right column indicates the site is a waste management unit as defined in Sect at (TPA). (Items 2 through 7 below correspond with the six waste management unit types found in the	ion 3.1 of the Tri-Party e TPA definition.)					
2.	Complete items 2.a through 2.f below to determine if the unit is a solid waste management unit (SWMU) as specified under WAC 173-303-040.	YES NO					
2.a.	Is the material at the unit a waste (i.e., a regulated waste or a discarded material, including garbage, refuse, sludge, construction/demolition debris, industrial/sanitary wastewater or other discarded solid, liquid, semisolid, or contained gas)?						
,	IF NO, CHECK NO AND GO TO 3. IF YES, GO TO 2.b.	MERE					
2.b.	Is the waste from historical residental activities (i.e., not from industrial, commercial, mining, agricultural, or community activities)?	FEB 0					
2.c.	Is the unit an industrial wastewater point discharge permitted under the Clean Water Act (i.e., National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit)? y O n O						
2.d.	Does the waste consist ONLY of source, special nuclear, or byproduct material regulated by the Atomic Energy Act?						
	A YES TO ANY OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS (2.b2.d.) INDICATES THE SITE IS NOT A SWMU. IF SO, CHECK NO AND GO TO 3. IF ALL ARE NO, GO TO 2.e.						
2.e.	Was the waste placed in a discernable unit (i.e., a landfill, surface impoundment, land treatment unit, waste pile, tank, container storage area, incinerator, injection well, wastewater treatment unit, waste recycling unit, or y other physical, chemical, or biological treatment unit)?						
	IF YES, CHECK YES AND GO TO 3. IF NO, GO TO 2.f.	r					
2.f.	Is the unit the result of routine and systematic discharges (i.e., areas receiving small but steady discharges over time from systematic human activity, such as from loading/unloading operations, solvent washing, industrial process sewer y n systems, etc.)?						
	IF YES, CHECK YES. IF NO, CHECK NO. GO TO 3.						
		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER					

Site Code:	200-W-57			5/1	2/2004
3.	Is the unit a waste disposal unit? (Complete items 3.a and 3.b below)	Y	YES NO ○ •		
3.a.	Does the unit require a RCRA permit for the disposal of dangerous or mixed waste?) n	Ŭ		
3.b.	Have hazardous wastes or substances been disposed of in a burial ground, pit, pond, ditch, crib, trench, french drain, or land surface that is not subject to regulation as a RCRA disposal unit and may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact (e.g., radioactive waste disposal units, pre-RCRA units)?	⊃ n •			
	IF EITHER IS YES, CHECK YES. IF BOTH ARE NO, CHECK NO. GO TO	0 4.	. • •		
4.	4. Is the unit an unplanned release that has not been adequately cleaned up and represents a potential threat to human health or the environment (i.e., releases above CERCLA reportable quantities defined in 40 CFR 302.4; other hazardous substance releases, including petroleum, that may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact)?		ES	NO •	
5.	Is the unit an inactive, contaminated structure?		ES	NO •	
6. Does the unit require a RCRA permit for the treatment or storage of dangerous or mixed waste?			es	NO •	
7. Is the unit another type of storage unit that may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact (e.g., radioactive waste storage unit)?			es O	NO •	
Comments	A 1997 RCRA General Inspection identified the staged material as an area nee material was removed, excessed or disposed of by the end of 2000. A site wall representatives in May 2004 verified the material originally reported to be store	down by 200-SW-1	l Oper	able Un	it ed.
Steve We	iss 5/14/2	2001			
ERC Data Management Investigator Date					
Lorna Ditmer 5/24/2001		2001			
Regulatory Compliance Concurrence Date			:		-
FOR SITES I	REQUIRING DOE-RL AND REGULATOR REVIEW PER SECTION 5.2 OF RL-TPA-90-00	01, TPA-MP-14			•
Steve Burnum 2/19/2002 Date Date		2002		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Lead Regul	atory Agency Concurrence Date	0/04	:		